

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/719,240	11/21/2003	Yakov Avidon	23-0297	2190	
75	90 01/12/2005 EXAMINER		INER		
Yakov Advidon 1298 Hartford Turnpike #9G North Haven,, CT 06473			PHILLIPS, CHARLES E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,			3751		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					111				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Appl	licant(s)	000				
		10/719,240	AVID	ON, YAKOV					
		Examiner	Art U	Jnit					
		Charles E. Phillips	3751						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period fo		VIC CET TO EVE	DE AMONTUVO) ED	014					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minim vill apply and will expire SI, , cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed rum of thirty (30) days will be X (6) MONTHS from the mail ecome ABANDONED (35 U	considered timely ing date of this co .S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
Status									
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G	3. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	•							
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are objected to.								
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent.						
Applicat	ion Papers			•.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) o	r (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
A++ a= h ==	*(c)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) · 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/03</u> .		otice of Informal Patent A ther:	pplication (PTO	-152)				
	rademark Office	-,							

Carles one haden

Application/Control Number: 10/719,240

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hatala.

See Fig. 1b where the frame assembly 35 is positioned on top of the tub between opposing Walls providing full response to lines 4-6 of claim 1. The support assembly is seen at 74. The locking member is seen actuated by 54.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tillman et al.

The frame assembly is seen at 2, support assembly 18 and the locking member 12, all of which together are capable of uses as recited here.

The claim 2 pair of side members is seen at 4 and the lend member is seen at 6b.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tillman et al as applied supra.

The pair of extension members are seen at 10, 12. To provide for these members to be employed on the side members 4 would have been obvious to the

Application/Control Number: 10/719,240

Art Unit: 3751

ordinary artisan. Since their use is demonstrated as locking or securing means, to employ them to lock in any direction desired would have constituted an extension of this teaching obvious to the ordinary artisan.

Claims 4-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 10 is allowed.

Sutor and Herman show other user supports for bathing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles Phillips at telephone number (571) 272-4893.

Charles E. Phillips Primary Examiner

Page 3